

Transition Paper Discussion:

Possible topics

- Basemap
- Digital infrastructure
- State data standards
- Job creation
- Governance
- Aging Infrastructure
- Education

Show why the basemap needs maintained? How is maintenance managed/accomplished?

Do we really want to ask for a base map when what we did not accomplish is the multi-level coordination necessary to have a viable base map? Doesn't a Council bring that about?

Decision

The "one clear ask" suggestions finally included:

- 1-provide leadership in understanding GIS base mapping in the Commonwealth (Lecher-ESRI)
- 2-leadership to support GIS in the State – Council creation (Hamilton – CCAP)
- 3-need for continuity and leadership in base map development (Shillenn – PAMAPPS)

Eric summarized that the one clear thing to ask for is "the new Administration's leadership in developing the shared decision-making needed to maintain the digital basemap of PA".

Reasons:

- A Council was identified as the most important step in the CAP Grant process in 2008-2009, since the digital infrastructure requires input from more than just State Agencies
- continue the digital base map development that was accepted as important in 2002 after the last transition paper. Reasons to highlight for the benefits of a broadly conceived base map include:
 - save money
 - enhance public safety
 - create jobs

Marcellus Shale is a key topic to exemplify current needs but should not be the only one.

Transition Paper Team includes:

- Eric Jespersen – authorship
- Chris Markel – authorship
- Peirce Eichelberger – conceptual support/general input
- Scott Dane – Review
- Mike Shillenn – Review and Delivery Guidance
- Kristen Hamilton – Review and Delivery Guidance
- Dave Terrell – Create Jobs subsection
- Chris Markel – Save Money subsection
- Barry Hutchins – Public Safety subsection
- Dave Gilbert - Format

Delivery by Thanksgiving

Two-page main document, 5-6 page supporting info